

Coronavirus Gives Boost To New Era Of 'No Choice, No Voice'

Big Tech companies censor any voice that does not support their technocrat agenda; in particular, anti-5G, anti-vaccine or anti-global warming will get you kicked off their respective platforms or shadow-banned. This has nothing to do with science, and everything to do with strong-arming.

Here is one citizen's personal journey and thoughts about 'No Choice, No Voice' □ TN Editor

My wife worked at Henry Ford Hospital for 43 years and just retired 07/02/2019. About 8 years ago the CSR's, nurses etc. were given the choice to either get the seasonal flu vaccine or wear a mask. Most opted to wear a mask as they did not want the vaccination. Then about 5 years ago HFH, like most hospitals nationwide, made an ultimatum: either get the vaccine or loose your job. She had no choice and had to take the vaccine for the remaining 3 years. Today in many states and cities, "boiler plate" legislation is being adopted that force vaccines on populations while taking away religious exemptions.

As I have testified, back in July 2019 (my presentation on 5G) I mentioned that the number of childhood vaccines was doubled around 1990. Since then the rates of childhood autism has risen exponentially and I have also been dumbstruck that this was not considered a national health emergency, when I first understood the correlation (c. 1989). I'm even more dumbstruck that this issue is still being ignored and suppressed in 2020: 21 years later. I also mentioned that there could be other vectors — contributing factors — including GMO foods and increases in EMF Radiation with the growth in cell phone use.

5G implementation is far worse than 1G-4G both in terms of densification (antennas will be placed every 2-10 homes) and frequencies (some of the 5G frequencies will interfere with cellular function). It is becoming pretty clear that the Hunan Coronavirus is a engineered bio-weapon that was either purposely or accidentally released. Hunan also implemented 5G around October 2019 and also forced a vaccine on the population around the same time. I've been reluctant to offer my opinion on the Cononovirus as there is so much questionable information circulating. But there is scientific evidence that some of these 5G frequencies do interfere with cellular metabolism and do compromise our ability to fight off infections. There are also scientific studies that have shown that various frequencies can activate the Epstein Barr virus (1997). The more frequencies we are exposed to the more likely other dormant viruses (including those in vaccines) will be activated with the roll out of 5G.

Science is rarely settled and much has been learned about vaccines in the last 20 years. It is now better understood that the Spanish Flu — which killed over 90,000,000 (1919) was actually caused by a vaccine pushed by the Rockefeller's and Rothschild families. It is also becoming clear that vaccines have caused harm to our younger generations that have received twice as many vaccines as we did growing up. Even more concerning are the new generations of "vaccines" (RNA and DNA based) that are capable of altering our very genome. If that does not concern you, you need to do some research. Man was never intended to alter the tree of life and we certainly haven't the wisdom to understand the ramifications of changing our very DNA through this new class of

vaccines.

The Movie “Vaxxed II” is coming out and it is worth a viewing. The first Movie “Vaxxed,” was heavily censored and is but one small example of how free speech is being suppressed in the United States and around the world.

We are moving into a strange “New Age” of “No Choice, No Voice.” Our representatives, the media, our government no longer has open discussions with the citizen’s they are supposed to represent. That is why I felt I had to interrupt the “Ecorse Flooding” meeting the other night. For over 8 months I had requested that Debbie Dingell respond to my concerns about 5G radiation. For 8 months she just ignored me and may have been responsible for censoring me on the Facebook platform. Debbie is a 5G proponent and is an active member of the House “5G Caucus.” And this was the only public forum where I could at least symbolically confront her. Again. Sorry, not sorry.

So here we are in 2020. Our freedom of speech is being stripped away. Our right to choose is being stripped away. Our right to own a gun is being stripped away. More generally our Bill of Rights is being ripped to shreds. This sends alarm bells off in my head. Because, from my study of human history, whenever human rights are being diminished — totalitarian measures and programs lie right around the next corner. Think Hitler, Mussolini, Stalin, Pol Pot, Mao. Think about the hundred of millions that have been slaughtered, throughout the last hundred years, when freedom is squelched and totalitarianism raises its ugly head.

I’m just suggesting to everyone — whether you have a seat in government or are just a hard working citizen — that we have to start fighting back against 5G and this emerging “New Age” of “No Choice, No Voice.” Our nemesis — composed of the UN, Global Corporations, Co-opted Governments etc. — is certainly very powerful. But even they are no match for a united popular resistance by the people of planet Earth. It has to start somewhere. It has to start with each of us standing up and doing something.

The hour glass is quickly emptying. There is not a whole lot of time left

to rise up.

All of us is all we need.



WEF: Satisfaction With 'Democracy At 25-Year Low'

The World Economic Forum continues to bash democracy as being rejected by developed nations, in particular in the U.S. and Brazil where citizens are generally the most anti-technocratic. By comparison, Denmark, Switzerland and Norway are elevated as wonderful democratic states, which are already operating as Technocratic states. Expect a continuing propaganda push to bash democracy while leaving the door wide open for the only solution offered: Sustainable Development, aka Technocracy. □ TN Editor

- Dissatisfaction with democracy is at its highest since records began.
- United States and Brazil show the highest levels of dissatisfaction.
- Small, high-income nations eg. Luxembourg, Denmark have lowest levels.
- Dissatisfaction often linked to economic shocks and scandals.

- Dissatisfaction with democracy in developed nations is at a record high

Since 1995, the University of Cambridge's Centre for the Future of Democracy has gauged people's views on democracy. Their most recent report, spanning 154 nations, reveals some of the highest levels of discontent since records began.

"We find that dissatisfaction with democracy has risen over time and is reaching an all-time global high, in particular in developed countries," said the report's author, Dr Roberto Foa.

Global democratic malaise

In the mid-nineties, countries in Europe, Africa, the Middle East, Asia and Australia seemed to be relatively satisfied with their democracies. Since then, the proportion of people expressing dissatisfaction has risen from 47.9% to 57.5%.

Some of the world's largest democratic countries, such as the United States and Brazil, are experiencing the highest levels of dissatisfaction, with Mexico, Australia and the United Kingdom seeing their highest level of dissatisfaction on record. Japan, Greece and Spain are also inching closer to all-time highs.

'Islands of contentment'

However, not all hope is lost. People in some countries - primarily small, high-income democracies like Denmark, Switzerland and Norway - are showing great confidence in their democratic institutions.


These countries form part of the so-called "Island of Contentment" - a small subset of nations, accounting for just 2% of the world's population, where less than a quarter of the citizenry express dissatisfaction with democracy.

Shock and awe

While the report demonstrates a marked increase in dissatisfaction, it

doesn't conclude why. However, 25 years of data does point to a correlation between levels of dissatisfaction and large-scale events such as economic shocks and political scandals.

[Read full story here...](#)



National Review: ‘Bloomberg’s Technocratic Threat’

The author is correct to associate “technocratic” with Bloomberg, but for the wrong reasons. Bloomberg is an active member of the Trilateral Commission which elevated Technocracy to global prominence beginning in 1973. □ TN Editor

Mike Bloomberg is cool, correct, and effective, and all the more worrisome for it.

If November were to come down to a Trump-Bloomberg race — despite the former New York City mayor’s woeful debating skills — Americans

would get the choice of swapping one president with an a-constitutional view of the office for another.

The two New York City billionaires are studies in contrast, except no one would think to feature either one of them in an episode of *Schoolhouse Rock*.

Donald Trump views the presidency through the prism of what's most gratifying to him, especially his insatiable need for attention; Bloomberg would view it through the prism of what's good for you, as filtered through his supreme confidence that he, and only he, truly knows what that is.

Trump's ego feeds off constant praise and airtime; Bloomberg's feeds off his belief that he's the smartest guy in the room, in fact, in any room, and that you'd inevitably agree with him if only you were as intelligent, rational, and public-spirited as he is.

Trump insults people directly with disparaging nicknames and slighting references to their physical characteristics, energy level, and poll numbers; Bloomberg insults them indirectly with his ill-disguised contempt for their supposedly troglodyte views if they happen to disagree with him.

Trump the nationalist wants to control the flow of foreign people and goods into the United States; Bloomberg the do-gooder wants to control your diet and other habits.

Both Trump and Bloomberg have a soft spot for Chinese president-for-life Xi Jinping. For Trump, he is strong; for Bloomberg, he is able to do what he wants with minimal interference from little people and nonexperts.

The signature Michael Bloomberg initiative is the ban — of smoking, of large sodas, of guns. He is most comfortable when he is prohibiting things that people should know better than to consume or own. The spirit of these initiatives was undemocratic and in some cases, the method was, too. Bloomberg bypassed the city council when attempting to impose his soda ban, instead getting the board of health to issue a

diktat against 16-ounce sodas sold at the wrong establishments.

Surely, to the extent it's made any impression on him whatsoever, Bloomberg considers the U.S. Constitution an anachronism that poses obstacles to the initiatives of right-thinking people. Why should an 18th-century conception of rights get in the way of a 21st-century government, especially when health and safety are at stake?

Bloomberg's reaction after the Boston Marathon bombing was characteristic. "We live in a complex world," he said, "where you're going to have to have a level of security greater than you did back in the olden days, if you will. And our laws and our interpretation of the Constitution, I think, have to change."

[Read full story here...](#)